IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MAXINE JACQUEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs. No. 13-cv-0383 SMV

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES UNDER EAJA

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, with Memorandum in Support [Doc. 23] ("Motion"), filed on November 10, 2014. Plaintiff requests attorney fees in the amount of \$6,117.05. [Doc. 23]. In her response [Doc. 24], the Commissioner does not object to Plaintiff's request for attorney fees. Accordingly, the Court, having considered the submissions of counsel, the record in this case, and the relevant law, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, **FINDS** that the motion is well-taken and will be **GRANTED**.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act... [Doc. 23] is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff Maxine Jacquez is authorized to receive \$6,117.05 for payment to her attorney for services before this Court, as permitted by the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and in accordance with *Manning v. Astrue*, 510 F.3d 1246, 1255 (10th Cir. 2007).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff's counsel is ultimately granted attorney

fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) of the Social Security Act, counsel shall refund the smaller

award to Plaintiff pursuant to Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002) ("Congress

harmonized fees payable by the Government under EAJA with fees payable under § 406(b) out of

the claimant's past-due Social Security benefits in this manner: Fee awards may be made under

both prescriptions, but the claimant's attorney must refun[d] to the claimant the amount of the

smaller fee.") (internal quotation marks omitted).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR

United States Magistrate Judge

Presiding by Consent